

# Designed to maintain and restore wound balance.



# Zetuvit® Plus Silicone Border Works with you; not against you

30
Dressings/month allotment by most payors

Versatility


7 Up to 7 day wear time

Zetuvit® Plus


# Zetuvit® Plus Silicone Border

- ➡ Improve healing conditions
- ♣ Versatile, effective, patient-friendly

# 1. ABSORPTION Uptake of wound inhibitors, microorganisms. 2. SEQUESTRATION Wound inhibitor factors (ex-proteases), microorganisms are locked away. 3. RETENTION Wound inhibitor factors (ex-proteases), microorganisms are held and immobilized. 4. REMOVAL Wound inhibitors, microorganisms are removed with the dressing.



### Absorbency, Retention and Absorbency Under Compression<sup>4</sup>




Predicted better health outcomes and costeffectiveness compared with foam dressings<sup>3</sup> Why do SAP dressings outperform foam dressings?

# Foam Dressings

Porous hydrocellular polyurethane material draws wound fluid inside open cells.

Wound fluid held within the capillaries is same liquid state as in the wound bed.



Foam Dressing

# Superabsorbent Polymers (SAP) Dressings

When excess wound exudate encounters the SAP layer, the SAP particles bind to the fluid and chemically change the fluid into a gel.

This gel is now **locked** and **retained** within the dressing, **even under compression**.



Superabsorbent Polymers (SAP)

Zetuvit® Plus Silicone Border: THE PREFERRED CHOICE		
	<b>CURRENT</b> Silicone Foams	PREFERRED Silicone SAP Dressings
Equivalent Absorbency <sup>1</sup>	~2 Foam Dressing*	1 Zetuvit® Plus Silicone Border
Highest fluid retention capacity <sup>5</sup>	_	+
Highest dressing retention after repeated lifting and repositioning <sup>6</sup>	_	+
Reduces and redistributes pressure <sup>7</sup>	+	+
Up to 7 day wear time	+	+
30 dressings per month Medicare Part B allotment	_	+
No fear of odor	_	+
MMP retention <sup>8</sup>	_	+
Minimizes strikethrough and leakage	_	+
Cost effectiveness	_	+

\*Rounded to full dressings (2) from 1.6

# Are you ready to step-up to a new generation of dressings?

High-quality, Made in the EU

# Zetuvit® Plus Silicone Border





Wound pad must not be cut. Transparent border can be cut.

Wound Pad Size









3" x 3"



6" x 6" 3.7" x 3.7"



4.5" x 4.5"



8" x 8" 5.7" x 5.7"



5" x 6" 3" x 4"



4.7" x 9" 2.6" x 6.9"



6" x 10" 4.1" x 8.1"



7" x 7" 5" x 5"



9" x 9" 6.9" x 6.9"



10" x 10" 7.3" x 6.8"

# Zetuvit® Plus Silicone (Non-Border)



Must not be cut.





3" x 3" 2.6" x 2.6"



4.4" x 4.4"



4" x 8" 3.4" x 7.3"



8" x 8" 7.3" x 7.3"



8" x 10" 7.3" x 9.3"

# Zetuvit® Plus (Superabsorber)



Must not be cut.

Wound Pad Size



4" x 4" 3.4" x 3.4"



4" x 8" 3.4" x 7.3"



6" x 8" 5.4" x 7.3"



8" x 10" 7.3" x 9.3"



8" x 16" 7.3" x 9.3"

1: Summary SMTL\_EVO\_V2. 2: PB632-001-V2: 31st October 2022, PB632-003-V1: 31st March 2023. 3: Velickovic VM, Prieto PA, Krga M, Jorge AM. Superabsorbent wound dressings versus foam dressings for the management of moderate-to-highly exuding venous leg ulcers in French settings: An early stage model-based economic evaluation. Journal of Tissue Viability. 2022;31(3):523-30. 4: Absorbency and Fluid Retention of Wound Dressings, SMTL TM-404, Absorbency Under Compression, SMTL TM-414 Zetuvit Plus Silicone Border (EVO): SMTL report 22/6679/1, Zetuvit Plus Silicone Border (Octopus): SMTL report 18/5765/1, Mepilex Border Flex: SMTL report 22/6714/1, Allevyn Life, Biatain Silicone: SMTL report 23/6755/1 5: Summary SMTL\_EVO\_V2 6: Data on file: Test Data Report 2020\_005, 2020 7: GeFen A et al. The biomechanical efficacy of a dressing with soft cellulose fluff core in prophylactic use. Int. Wounds 2020 1-18 8: Davies,L.O., Carney J., Purcell L.E., Rippon M.G., and Westgate S.J. Microbial Sequestration and Proteinase Modulation Properties of Silicone Coated Superabsorbent Dressings Perfectus Paper. Poster presented at Wounds UK. Harrogate, UK, 2017

HARTMANN USA, Inc. Rock Hill, SC 29730 1-800-243-2294

